The U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs (OJP), Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention is seeking applications for its fiscal year (FY) 2016 Comprehensive Antigang Strategies and Programs. This program furthers the Department’s mission by supporting evidence-based strategies in communities that coordinate prevention, intervention, enforcement, and reentry programs to reduce and control gang-related crime and violence.

**OJJDP FY 2016 Comprehensive Antigang Strategies and Programs**

**Applications Due: June 1, 2016**

**Eligibility**

Eligible applicants are limited to states (including territories), units of local government, federally recognized tribal governments (as determined by the Secretary of the Interior), and nonprofit organizations (including tribal nonprofit organizations).

OJJDP welcomes applications that involve two or more entities that will carry out the funded federal award activities; however, one eligible entity must be the applicant and the other(s) must be proposed as subrecipient(s). The applicant must be the entity with primary responsibility for administering the funding and managing the entire project. Only one application per lead applicant will be considered; however, a subrecipient may be part of multiple proposals.

OJJDP may elect to make awards for applications submitted under this solicitation in future fiscal years, dependent on, among other considerations, the merit of the applications and the availability of appropriations.

**Deadline**

Applicants must register with Grants.gov prior to submitting an application. (See “How To Apply,” page 23.) All applications are due by 11:59 p.m. eastern time (ET) on June 1, 2016.

All applicants are encouraged to read this Important Notice: Applying for Grants in Grants.gov.

For additional information, see How to Apply in Section D. Application and Submission Information.
Contact Information

For technical assistance with submitting an application, contact the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at 800-518-4726 or 606-545-5035, or via e-mail to support@grants.gov. Hotline hours of operation are 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except federal holidays.

Applicants who experience unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond their control that prevent them from submitting their application by the deadline must e-mail the OJJDP contact identified below within 24 hours after the application deadline and request approval to submit their application. Additional information on reporting technical issues is found under “Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues” in the How To Apply section.

For assistance with any other requirements of this solicitation, contact the contact the Response Center by telephone at 800-851-3420 or TTY: 301-240-6310 (Hearing impaired only), by e-mail at grants@ncjrs.gov, or by web chat. Response Center hours of operation are 10 a.m. to 6 p.m. ET, Monday through Friday, and 10 a.m. to 8 p.m. ET on the solicitation close date. Answers to frequently asked questions that may assist applicants are posted at www.ojjdp.gov/grants/solicitations/FY2016/FAQ/AntigangFAQ.pdf.

Grants.gov number assigned to this announcement: OJJDP-2016-9332

Release date: March 23, 2016
Updated May 19, 2016
A. Program Description

Overview

Youth gangs have a significant adverse impact on youth, families, and communities across America. A growing number of communities have adopted OJJDP’s Comprehensive Gang Model, a multistrategy, multidisciplinary approach that has proven to be effective in reducing gang activity. The FY 2016 Comprehensive Antigang Strategies and Programs solicitation provides funding for localities to enhance coordination of federal, state, and local resources in support of community partnerships implementing the following antigang programs: primary prevention, secondary prevention, gang intervention, and targeted gang enforcement.

This program is authorized pursuant to paragraph (3)(B) under the Juvenile Justice heading in the Department of Justice Appropriations Act, 2016, Pub. L. No. 114-113; 129 Stat. 2242, 2309.

Program-Specific Information

Purpose

Community-based gang prevention, intervention, and suppression should involve multiple organizations and programs working in partnership to deliver complementary services to a specific population in a targeted area. The Comprehensive Antigang Strategies program provides communities with funds to (1) hire a multistrategy gang coordinator who will enhance the coordination of existing community-based antigang programs and strategies that are closely aligned with local law enforcement efforts and (2) implement or enhance existing evidence-based gang prevention, intervention, and suppression programs.

Goals, Objectives, and Deliverables

The program will help states and localities implement comprehensive community-based antigang strategies and strengthen coordination of existing resources and activities that support multiple complementary, evidence-based programs to reduce gang activity in targeted communities.

Successful applicants should agree to hire or designate a full-time director/coordinator for the duration of the assessment process and implementation of the program. The director is a leadership position and reports directly to the steering committee/policy group. He or she should have strong supervision, budget preparation, strategic planning, and personnel management skills; ability to network effectively with a variety of organizations, including government agencies, law enforcement agencies, schools, social service agencies, courts/probation/corrections, and grassroots organizations; and have a basic understanding of gang intervention, suppression, and prevention strategies and gang involvement. (If a project director has been identified, provide a résumé.)
Awards will support coordination of community-based antigang initiatives that involve law enforcement as an essential partner. Other partners should include schools, social services, faith- and community-based organizations, and businesses. Successful applicants will demonstrate that they are implementing community-based activities consistent with all of the antigang strategies (i.e. The Comprehensive Gang Model) and programs described below using existing funding (see Readiness to Collaborate, Plan, and Implement the Model below). An effective antigang strategy should be based upon a thorough assessment of the gang problem (see below). The response must be a comprehensive, long-term strategic approach that contains the spread of gang activity; protects those youth who are most susceptible; and mitigates those risk factors that foster gang activity.

The four-pronged approach of an effective antigang strategy should include targeted enforcement of youth who commit the most serious and chronic offenses, intervening with those youth who are already gang involved, preventing those youth who have been identified as being at high risk of entering a gang, and targeting the entire population in high-crime, high-risk areas through the implementation of programs that address risk and protective factors.

Award recipients will provide semiannual progress reports that compare the status of existing partnerships and resource coordination with the baseline at the start of the project.

**Readiness to collaborate, plan, and implement the model.** The following are key components of an organization that is ready to implement the antigang model:

a. Political leadership of the community and agencies who will collaborate in the proposed project should have a gang problem that exists in the community/target area and acknowledge the existence of that problem.

b. Applicant agency is a state agency, unit of local government (law enforcement, school district, criminal justice agency, mayor’s office, etc.) or a not-for-profit with a demonstrated track record for managing initiatives involving multiple collaborating organizations.

c. A group of policymakers and leaders composed of law enforcement, criminal/adolescent justice, education, social services, and other governmental entities has been or will be convened. They intend to form an oversight mechanism (steering committee/task force/advisory council) to formulate strategies, allocate resources, and monitor progress. Members of this group should have the authority to commit their agency to provide data for the assessment and participate in a joint planning process to address local gang problems.

**Gang problem assessment.** Prior to planning or commencing any gang-related programming, a communitywide assessment should be conducted. The assessment process helps projects determine types and levels of gang activity, gang crime patterns, community perceptions, and service gaps. It also assists the steering committee members in identifying target populations to be served and making the best use of available resources.

Applicants selected to receive a grant may conduct a more comprehensive gang problem assessment. The following agencies should have committed in writing to the steering committee to participate in conducting the assessment, providing relevant data, and producing an assessment that the steering committee will use for planning purposes:
a. Primary local law enforcement agencies.

b. Local school district(s).

c. Juvenile and/or adult probation and parole.

d. City or county social services and/or local providers.

e. City/county leaders that include elected officials and executives.

**Outreach agency, if available.** Street outreach in the OJJDP Comprehensive Gang Model occurs inside the framework of a cooperative relationship with other agencies, including probation, law enforcement, social services, and schools. Outreach workers/organizations serve gang members within a targeted community. Gang members may have a history of being challenging to serve and/or engage through mainstream agencies. They may also come from areas with significant levels of community and family disruption and disorganization. Further, these gang members are likely to be involved with criminal justice agencies, such as courts, probation/parole, and law enforcement. Outreach organizations/workers build relationships with gang members and their families with specific goals in mind: to reduce clients’ bonds to gangs, reduce gang-related conflict and violence, support and assist gang members and their families in accessing social and educational services, and provide a positive adult example and mentoring to the gang members.

An assessment is the foundation for planning and implementing a successful comprehensive antigang strategy. Conducting an assessment can take from 6 to 12 months, including planning for data collection, collecting and analyzing data, and preparing the report. (Applicants should provide a timeline for this phase.)

OJJDP recommends that applicants use a research partner to assist with the assessment and measurement of progress. Applicants should provide information on the role envisioned for the research partner in collecting, analyzing, and reporting data (provide the résumé of the identified research partner or a job description if the research partner is not identified).

**The Comprehensive Gang Model.** The core strategies of this comprehensive approach to addressing community gang problems includes the following:

a. **Community mobilization.** Involvement of local citizens, including former gang-involved youth, community groups, and agencies, and coordination of programs and staff functions within and across agencies.

b. **Opportunities provision.** Development of a variety of specific education, training, and employment programs targeting gang-involved youth.

c. **Social intervention.** Involving youth-serving agencies, schools, grassroots groups, faith-based organizations, police, and other juvenile/criminal justice organizations in reaching out to gang-involved youth and their families and linking them with needed services.

d. **Suppression.** Agencies of the juvenile/criminal justice system and community-based agencies, schools, and grassroots groups conducting formal and informal social control procedures, including close supervision and monitoring of gang-involved youth.
e. **Organizational change and development.** Development and implementation of policies and procedures that result in the most effective use of available and potential resources within and across agencies to better address the gang problem.

**Antigang programs.** Gang activity is a complex social phenomenon that varies by age, degree of gang involvement, and severity of offending. Risk factors associated with the probability that a young person will join a gang run across family, school, peer, and community lines.

Accordingly, no single program or strategy operating independently is likely to have a lasting effect in reducing gang activity. Comprehensive antigang strategies, however, coordinate multiple approaches in recognition that each strategy plays a role toward a coordinated effort. As previously noted, communities have adopted a broad range of programs to combat gang activity, many of which may be categorized as follows:

a. **Primary prevention** includes activities to reduce risk factors or increase protective factors among the entire population of youth and families in communities with significant levels of gang activity.

b. **Secondary prevention** includes programs and services for youth who have already displayed early signs of problem behavior or who are exposed to multiple known risk factors for gang activity to decrease the likelihood they will join a gang. These services typically include some form of individual risk assessment and often focus on youth 7 to 14 years old and their families. Younger siblings and family members of gang-involved youth are particularly suitable for these services.

c. **Intervention** with high-risk or gang-involved youth includes community-based programs that balance the delivery of services and educational and employment opportunities with supervision and accountability. Intervention services typically involve outreach to youth and families, individual risk/needs assessments, case management, service referrals, coordinated service delivery, and supervision.

d. **Targeted gang enforcement** includes community-oriented and problem-oriented policing strategies that emphasize collaborative enforcement strategies involving probation and prosecution to target high-rate gang members, gang leaders, and youth who commit serious violent offenses.

**Family engagement.** OJJDP envisions a transformed juvenile justice system that recognizes and builds upon the strengths, values, and diversity of families and communities to best serve the children and youth who come into contact with the system and to improve both safety and quality of life for all. This system will honor and support families before, during, and after their children have contact with the system. Applicants should describe how the proposed program will include a family engagement component.

**Evidence-Based Programs or Practices**

OJP strongly emphasizes the use of data and evidence in policy making and program development in criminal justice, juvenile justice, and crime victim services. OJP is committed to:

- Improving the quantity and quality of evidence OJP generates.
Integrating evidence into program, practice, and policy decisions within OJP and the field.

Improving the translation of evidence into practice.

OJP considers programs and practices to be evidence-based when their effectiveness has been demonstrated by causal evidence, generally obtained through one or more outcome evaluations. Causal evidence documents a relationship between an activity or intervention (including technology) and its intended outcome, including measuring the direction and size of a change, and the extent to which a change may be attributed to the activity or intervention.

Causal evidence depends on the use of scientific methods to rule out, to the extent possible, alternative explanations for the documented change. The strength of causal evidence, based on the factors described above, will influence the degree to which OJP considers a program or practice to be evidence-based. OJP’s CrimeSolutions.gov website and OJJDP’s Model Programs Guide website are two resources that applicants may use to find information about evidence-based programs in criminal justice, juvenile justice, and crime victim services. The National Gang Center’s Strategic Planning Tool lists evidence-based programs, as well.

Additional Resources

OJJDP encourages applicants to review the recommendations from the Attorney General’s National Task Force on Children Exposed to Violence and the recommendations of the National Research Council’s Reforming Juvenile Justice: A Developmental Approach and consider incorporating the recommendations into their applications, where applicable.

B. Federal Award Information

Amount and Length of Awards

OJJDP expects to make as many as eight awards of as much as $300,000 for an estimated total of approximately $2.4 million for a 24-month project period, beginning October 1, 2016.

OJJDP may, in certain cases, provide supplemental funding in future years to awards made under this solicitation. Important considerations in decisions regarding supplemental funding include, among other factors, the availability of funding, strategic priorities, assessment of the quality of the management of the award (for example, timeliness and quality of progress reports), and assessment of the progress of the work funded under the award.

All awards are subject to the availability of appropriated funds and to any modifications or additional requirements that may be imposed by law.

Type of Award¹

OJJDP expects to make any award from this solicitation in the form of a grant.

¹ See generally 31 U.S.C. §§ 6301-6305 (defines and describes various forms of federal assistance relationships, including grants and cooperative agreements (a type of grant)).
Financial Management and System of Internal Controls

Award recipients and subrecipients (including any recipient or subrecipient funded in response to this solicitation that is a pass-through entity\(^2\)) must, as described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.303:

(a) Establish and maintain effective internal control over the federal award that provides reasonable assurance that the recipient (and any subrecipient) is managing the federal award in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award. These internal controls should be in compliance with guidance in “Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government” issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the “Internal Control Integrated Framework” issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

(b) Comply with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal awards.

(c) Evaluate and monitor the recipient’s (and any subrecipient’s) compliance with statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of federal awards.

(d) Take prompt action when instances of noncompliance are identified, including noncompliance identified in audit findings.

(e) Take reasonable measures to safeguard protected personally identifiable information and other information the federal awarding agency or pass-through entity designates as sensitive or the recipient (or any subrecipient) considers sensitive consistent with applicable federal, state, local, and tribal laws regarding privacy and obligations of confidentiality.

In order to better understand administrative requirements and cost principles, applicants are encouraged to enroll, at no charge, in the Department of Justice Grants Financial Management Online Training.

Budget Information

Cost Sharing or Matching Requirement
This solicitation does not require a match. However, if a successful application proposes a voluntary match amount, and OJP approves the budget, the total match amount incorporated into the approved budget becomes mandatory and subject to audit.

Preagreement Cost (also known as Preaward Cost) Approvals
Preagreement costs are costs incurred by the applicant prior to the start date of the period of performance of the grant award.

OJP does not typically approve preagreement costs; an applicant must request and obtain the prior written approval of OJP for all such costs. If approved, preagreement costs could be paid from grant funds consistent with a grantee’s approved budget, and under applicable

\(^2\) For purposes of this solicitation (or program announcement), “pass-through entity” includes any entity eligible to receive funding as a recipient or subrecipient under this solicitation (or program announcement) that, if funded, may make a subaward(s) to a subrecipient(s) to carry out part of the funded program.
cost standards. However, all such costs prior to award and prior to approval of the costs are incurred at the sole risk of an applicant. Generally, no applicant should incur project costs before submitting an application requesting federal funding for those costs. Should there be extenuating circumstances that appear to be appropriate for OJP’s consideration as preagreement costs, the applicant should contact the point of contact listed on the title page of this announcement for details on the requirements for submitting a written request for approval. See the section on Costs Requiring Prior Approval in the Financial Guide, for more information.

**Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation; Waiver**

With respect to any award of more than $250,000 made under this solicitation, recipients may not use federal funds to pay total cash compensation (salary plus cash bonuses) to any employee of the award recipient at a rate that exceeds 110 percent of the maximum annual salary payable to a member of the Federal Government’s Senior Executive Service (SES) at an agency with a Certified SES Performance Appraisal System for that year. The 2016 salary table for SES employees is available at the Office of Personnel Management website. Note: A recipient may compensate an employee at a greater rate, provided the amount in excess of this compensation limitation is paid with nonfederal funds. (Any such additional compensation will not be considered matching funds where match requirements apply.) For employees who charge only a portion of their time to an award, the allowable amount to be charged is equal to the percentage of time worked times the maximum salary limitation.

The Assistant Attorney General for OJP may exercise discretion to waive, on an individual basis, the limitation on compensation rates allowable under an award. An applicant requesting a waiver should include a detailed justification in the budget narrative of the application. Unless applicants submit a waiver request and justification with their applications, they should anticipate that OJP will request that they adjust and resubmit the budget.

The justification should include the particular qualifications and expertise of the individual, the uniqueness of the service the individual will provide, the individual’s specific knowledge of the program or project being undertaken with award funds, and a statement explaining that the individual’s salary is commensurate with the regular and customary rate for an individual with his/her qualifications and expertise, and for the work to be done.

**Prior Approval, Planning, and Reporting of Conference/Meeting/Training Costs**

OJP strongly encourages applicants that propose to use award funds for any conference-, meeting-, or training-related activity to review carefully—before submitting an application—the OJP policy and guidance on conference approval, planning, and reporting. OJP policy and guidance (1) encourage minimization of conference, meeting, and training costs; (2) require prior written approval (which may affect project timelines) of most conference, meeting, and training costs for cooperative agreement recipients and of some conference, meeting, and training costs for grant recipients; and (3) set cost limits, including a general prohibition of all food and beverage costs.

**Costs Associated with Language Assistance (if applicable)**

If an applicant proposes a program or activity that would deliver services or benefits to individuals, the costs of taking reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to those

---

3 OJP does not apply this limitation on the use of award funds to the nonprofit organizations listed at Appendix VIII to 2 C.F.R. Part 200.
services or benefits for individuals with limited English proficiency may be allowable. Reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to services or benefits may include interpretation or translation services where appropriate.

For additional information, see the "Civil Rights Compliance" section under “Solicitation Requirements” in the OJP Funding Resource Center.

C. Eligibility Information

For eligibility information, see the title page.

For additional information on cost sharing or matching requirements, see Section B. Federal Award Information.

Limit on Number of Application Submissions

If an applicant submits multiple versions of the same application, OJJDP will review only the most recent system-validated version submitted. For more information on system-validated versions, see How To Apply.

D. Application and Submission Information

What an Application Should Include

Applicants who fail to submit an application that contains all of the specified elements should expect that doing so may negatively affect the review of their application; and, should OJJDP decide to make an award, it may result in the inclusion of special conditions that preclude the recipient from accessing or using award funds pending satisfaction of the conditions.

Moreover, applicants should anticipate that applications that are determined to be nonresponsive to the scope of the solicitation, or that do not include the application elements that OJJDP has designated to be critical, will neither proceed to peer review nor receive further consideration. Under this solicitation, OJJDP has designated the following application elements as critical: Program Narrative; and Budget Detail Worksheet or Budget Narrative. Applicants may combine the Budget Narrative and the Budget Detail Worksheet in one document. However, if an applicant submits only one budget document, it should contain both narrative and detail information. Review the “Note on File Names and File Types” under How To Apply to be sure applications are submitted in permitted formats.

OJP strongly recommends that applicants use appropriately descriptive file names (e.g., “Program Narrative,” “Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative,” “Timelines,” “Memoranda of Understanding,” “Résumés”) for all attachments. Also, OJP recommends that applicants include résumés in a single file.

1. Information to Complete the Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424)

The SF-424 is a required standard form used as a cover sheet for submission of pre-applications, applications, and related information. Grants.gov and the OJP Grants Management System (GMS) take information from the applicant’s profile to populate the fields on this form. When selecting "type of applicant," if the applicant is a for-profit
entity, select "For-Profit Organization" or "Small Business" (as applicable).

**Intergovernmental Review:** This funding opportunity is subject to Executive Order 12372. Applicants may find the names and addresses of their state’s Single Point of Contact (SPOC) at the following website: www.whitehouse.gov/omb/grants_spoc/. Applicants whose state appears on the SPOC list must contact their state’s SPOC to find out about, and comply with, the state’s process under Executive Order 12372. In completing the SF-424, applicants whose state appears on the SPOC list are to make the appropriate selection in response to question 19 once the applicant has complied with their state’s E.O. 12372 process. (Applicants whose state does not appear on the SPOC list are to make the appropriate selection in response to question 19 to indicate that the "Program is subject to E.O. 12372 but has not been selected by the State for review.")

2. **Project Abstract**

Applications should include a high-quality project abstract that summarizes the proposed project in 400 words or less. Project abstracts should be:

- Written for a general public audience.
- Submitted as a separate attachment with “Project Abstract” as part of its file name.
- Single-spaced, using a standard 12-point font (Times New Roman) with 1-inch margins.

The abstract should briefly describe the project’s purpose, the population to be served, and the activities that the applicant will implement to achieve the project’s goals and objectives. The abstract should describe how the applicant will measure progress toward these goals. The abstract should indicate whether the applicant will use any portion of the project budget to conduct research, as described in Note on Project Evaluations on page 15. All project abstracts should follow the detailed template.

As a separate attachment, the project abstract will not count against the page limit for the program narrative.

**Permission to Share Project Abstract with the Public.** It is unlikely that OJJDP will be able to fund all applications submitted under this solicitation, but it may have the opportunity to share information with the public regarding unfunded applications, for example, through a listing on a webpage available to the public. The intent of this public posting would be to allow other possible funders to become aware of such proposals.

In the project abstract template, applicants are asked to indicate whether they give OJP permission to share their project abstract (including contact information) with the public. Granting (or failing to grant) this permission will not affect OJP’s funding decisions, and, if the application is not funded, granting permission will not guarantee that abstract information will be shared, nor will it guarantee funding from any other source.

**Note:** OJP may choose not to list a project that otherwise would have been included in a listing of unfunded applications, should the abstract fail to meet the format and content requirements noted above and outlined in the project abstract template.
3. Program Narrative

Applicants must submit a program narrative that presents a detailed description of the purpose, goals, objectives, strategies, design, and management of the proposed program. The program narrative should be double-spaced with 1-inch margins, not exceeding 30 pages of 8½ by 11 inches, and use a standard 12-point font, preferably Times New Roman. Pages should be numbered “1 of 30,” etc. The tables, charts, pictures, etc., including all captions, legends, keys, subtext, etc., may be single-spaced and will count in the 30-page limit. Material required under the Budget and Budget Narrative and Additional Attachments sections will not count toward the program narrative page count. Applicants may provide bibliographical references as a separate attachment that will not count toward the 30-page program narrative limit. If the program narrative fails to comply with these length-related restrictions, OJJDP may consider such noncompliance in peer review and in final award decisions.

The program narrative should address the following selection criteria: (1) statement of the problem; (2) goals, objectives, and performance measures; (3) program design and implementation; and (4) capabilities/competencies. The applicant should clearly delineate the connections between and among each of these sections. For example, the applicant should derive the goals and objectives directly from the problems to be addressed. Similarly, the project design section should clearly explain how the program’s structure and activities will accomplish the goals and objectives identified in the previous section.

The following sections should be included as part of the program narrative:

a. Statement of the Problem. Applicants should briefly describe the nature and scope of the gang activity that the program will address. The applicant should use data to provide evidence that the problem exists, demonstrate the size and scope of the problem, and document the effects of the problem on the target population and the larger community. Applicants should describe the target population and any previous or current attempts to address the problem. The applicant should provide evidence that the political leadership of the community and agencies who will collaborate in the proposed project have a gang problem that exists in the community/target area and acknowledge the existence of that problem.

Applicants should describe any research or evaluation studies that relate to the problem and contribute to their understanding of its causes and potential solutions. While OJJDP expects applicants to review the research literature for relevant studies, they should also explore whether unpublished local sources of research or evaluation data are available.

b. Goals, Objectives, and Performance Measures. Applicants should describe the goals of the proposed program and identify its objectives. When formulating the program’s goals and objectives, applicants should be cognizant of the performance measures that OJJDP will require successful applicants to provide.

Goals. Applicants should describe the program’s intent to change, reduce, or eliminate the problem noted in the previous section and outline the project’s goals.

Program Objectives. Applicants should explain how the program will accomplish its goals. Objectives are specific, quantifiable statements of the project’s desired results.
They should be clearly linked to the problem identified in the preceding section and measurable.

**Performance Measures.** To demonstrate program progress and success, as well as, to assist the Department with fulfilling its responsibilities under the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA), Public Law 103-62, and the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010, Public Law 111–352, applicants that receive funding under this solicitation must provide data that measure the results of their work done under this solicitation. OJP will require any award recipient, post award, to provide the data requested in the “Data Grantee Provides” column so that OJP can calculate values for the “Performance Measures” column. OJJDP will require award recipients to submit semiannual performance metrics of relevant data through the Data Reporting Tool. Performance measures for this solicitation are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Performance Measures</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Data Grantees Provide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To support the implementation of comprehensive community-based antigang strategies and strengthens coordination of existing resources and activities that support multiple complementary, evidence-based programs to reduce gang activity in targeted communities.</td>
<td>Number of program youth served.</td>
<td>An unduplicated count of the number of youth served by the program during the reporting period. Definition of the number of youth served for a reporting period is the number of program youth carried over from previous reporting period, plus new admissions during the reporting period. In calculating the 3-year summary, the total number of youth served is the number of participants carried over from the year previous to the first fiscal year, plus all new admissions during the 3 reporting fiscal years. Program records are the preferred data source.</td>
<td>Number of program youth carried over from the previous reporting period, plus new admissions during the reporting period. Number of program youth served by gender, race and ethnicity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number and percent of youth with whom an evidence-based model or program was used.</td>
<td>The number and percent of youth served with whom an evidence-based model or program was used. Evidence-based models and programs include those that have been shown, through rigorous evaluation and replication, to be effective at preventing or reducing juvenile delinquency or related risk factors, such as substance abuse. Model programs can come from many valid sources (crimesolutions.gov, OJJDP’s Model Programs Guide, SAMHSA’s Model Programs, etc.).</td>
<td>Number of youth with whom an evidence-based program/ practice was used. Number of youth in the program.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>OJJDP Application Requirements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of youth who offend/reoffend (short and long term).</td>
<td>The number and percent of participating program youth who were arrested or seen at a juvenile court for a delinquent offense during the reporting period. Appropriate for any youth-serving program. Official records (police, juvenile court) are the preferred data source.</td>
<td>Number of youth who offend/reoffend, typically defined as a new arrest or court referral. Number of program policies.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of program youth who are victimized/revictimzed short and long term.</td>
<td>The measure determines the number of program youth who are harmed or adversely affected by someone else’s criminal actions. Victimization can be physical or psychological; it also includes harm or adverse effects to youth’s property. The number of youth tracked should reflect the number of program youth that are followed or monitored for victimization. Ideally, this number should be all youth served by the program during the reporting period (short term) or 6-12 months.</td>
<td>Number of youth in program. Number of program youth who experienced victimization/revictimization (short and long term).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of youth who exhibit a desired change in the targeted behavior.</td>
<td>The number and percent of program youth who have exhibited a desired change in target behaviors during the reporting period. Selected target behaviors include education or employment, for example.</td>
<td>Number of youth who exhibit a desired change in the targeted behavior, which will depend upon the program’s goals, activities, and target population.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OJJDP does not require applicants to submit performance measures data with their application. Performance measures are included as an alert that OJJDP will require successful applicants to submit specific data as part of their reporting requirements. For the application, applicants should indicate an understanding of these requirements and discuss how they will gather the required data, should they receive funding.

OJJDP encourages award recipients to use information from existing program records to fulfill performance measures reporting requirements rather than initiating new data collection activities for this purpose.

**Note on Project Evaluations**

Applicants that propose to use funds awarded through this solicitation to conduct project evaluations should be aware that certain evaluations (such as systematic investigations to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge) may constitute research for purposes of applicable DOJ human subjects protection regulations. However, project evaluations to generate internal improvements to a program or service or to meet OJP’s performance measure data reporting requirements likely do not constitute research. Applicants should provide sufficient information for OJP to determine whether the particular project they propose would either intentionally or unintentionally collect and/or use information in such a way that it meets the DOJ regulatory definition of research.
Research, for the purposes of human subjects protections for OJP-funded programs, is defined as, “a systematic investigation, including research development, testing, and evaluation, designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge” [28 C.F.R. § 46.102(d)]. For additional information on determining whether a proposed activity would constitute research, see the decision tree to assist applicants on the “Research and the Protection of Human Subjects” section of the OJP Funding Resource Center webpage. Applicants whose proposals may involve a research or statistical component also should review the “Data Privacy and Confidentiality Requirements” section on that webpage.

Project Design and Implementation. Applicants should detail how the project will operate throughout the funding period and describe the strategies that they will use to achieve the goals and objectives identified in the previous section. Applicants should describe how they will complete the deliverables stated in the Goals, Objectives, and Deliverables section on page 4. OJJDP encourages applicants to select evidence-based practices for their programs.

Applicants should describe:

- The oversight committee, including a list of its members, how often they meet, and its purpose.

- How the implementation of their comprehensive, community-based antigang strategy fits into their city’s youth violence prevention plan.

- The gang model assessment and the timeline.

- How they are implementing community-based antigang activities consistent with all of the antigang strategies (i.e., The Comprehensive Gang Model).

This section should also include details regarding any leveraged resources (cash or in-kind) from local sources to support the project and discuss plans for sustainability beyond the grant period.

Logic Model. Applicants should include a logic model that graphically illustrates how the performance measures are related to the project’s problems, goals, objectives, and design. See sample logic models here. Applicants should submit the logic model as a separate attachment, as stipulated in Additional Attachments, page 20.

Timeline. Applicants should submit a realistic timeline or milestone chart that indicates major tasks associated with the goals and objectives of the project, assigns responsibility for each, and plots completion of each task by month or quarter for the duration of the award, using “Year 1,” “Month 1,” “Quarter 1,” etc., not calendar dates (see “Sample Project Timelines” here).

Applicants should submit the timeline as a separate attachment, as stipulated in Additional Attachments, page 20. On receipt of an award, the recipient may revise the timeline, based on training and technical assistance that OJJDP will provide.
Capabilities and Competencies. This section should describe the experience and capability of the applicant organization and any contractors or subgrantees that the applicant will use to implement and manage this effort and its associated federal funding, highlighting any previous experience implementing projects of similar design or magnitude. Applicants should highlight their experience/capability/capacity to manage subawards, including details on their system for fiscal accountability. Management and staffing patterns should be clearly connected to the project design described in the previous section. Applicants should describe the roles and responsibilities of project staff and explain the program’s organizational structure and operations. Applicants should include a copy of an organizational chart showing how the organization operates, including who manages the finances; how the organization manages subawards, if there are any; and the management of the project proposed for funding. Specifically, applicants should provide either the résumé of the full-time director/coordinator or a description of the recruitment plan and the estimated timeline for hiring and a description of prior or ongoing multi-agency collaborations in juvenile justice, which need not necessarily be gang-related initiatives.

Letters of Support/Memoranda of Understanding. Applicants should submit with their application signed letters of support from, at a minimum, their mayor, chief of police, and the local school superintendent.

If submitting a joint application, as described under Eligibility, page 1, applicants should provide signed and dated letters of support or memoranda of understanding for all key partners that include the following:

- Expression of support for the program and a statement of willingness to participate and collaborate with it.
- Description of the partner’s current role and responsibilities in the planning process and expected responsibilities when the program is operational.
- Estimate of the percentage of time that the partner will devote to the planning and operation of the project.

Letters of support may be addressed to OJJDP Administrator Robert L. Listenbee. During the review process, OJJDP will consider only letters submitted by the due date and with the full application.

4. Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative

Applicants should provide a budget that (1) is complete, allowable, and cost-effective in relation to the proposed activities; (2) shows the cost calculations demonstrating how they arrived at the total amount requested; and (3) provides a brief supporting narrative to link costs with project activities. The budget should cover the entire award period.

For questions pertaining to budget and examples of allowable and unallowable costs, see the Financial Guide.
a. **Budget Detail Worksheet.** A sample Budget Detail Worksheet is posted on the OJP website. Applicants that submit their budget in a different format should include the budget categories listed in the sample budget worksheet. The Budget Detail Worksheet should be broken down by year.

b. **Budget Narrative.** The budget narrative should thoroughly and clearly describe every category of expense listed in the Budget Detail Worksheet. OJP expects proposed budgets to be complete, cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable, and necessary for project activities).

Applicants should budget to attend at least one antigang/youth violence prevention meeting during the project period. For planning purposes, the 2-day meeting/conference will be held in Washington, DC, and the project coordinator and key personnel (i.e. a site team of as many as five individuals) should attend.

Applicants should demonstrate in their budget narratives how they will maximize cost effectiveness of grant expenditures. Budget narratives should generally describe cost effectiveness in relation to potential alternatives and the goals of the project. For example, a budget narrative should detail why planned in-person meetings are necessary, or how technology and collaboration with outside organizations could be used to reduce costs, without compromising quality.

The narrative should be mathematically sound and correspond with the information and figures provided in the Budget Detail Worksheet. The narrative should explain how the applicant estimated and calculated all costs, and how they are relevant to the completion of the proposed project. The narrative may include tables for clarification purposes but need not be in a spreadsheet format. As with the Budget Detail Worksheet, the Budget Narrative should be broken down by year.

c. **Noncompetitive Procurement Contracts In Excess of Simplified Acquisition Threshold.** If an applicant proposes to make one or more noncompetitive procurements of products or services, where the noncompetitive procurement will exceed the simplified acquisition threshold (also known as the small purchase threshold), which is currently set at $150,000, the application should address the considerations outlined in the Financial Guide.

d. **Preagreement Cost Approvals.** For information on preagreement costs, see Section B, Federal Award Information.

5. **Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable)**

Indirect costs are allowed only under the following circumstances:

a. The applicant has a current, federally approved indirect cost rate; or

b. The applicant is eligible to use and elects to use the *de minimis* indirect cost rate described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.414(f).

Attach a copy of the federally approved indirect cost rate agreement to the application. Applicants that do not have an approved rate may request one through their cognizant federal agency, which will review all documentation and approve a rate for the applicant.
organization, or, if the applicant’s accounting system permits, costs may be allocated in
the direct cost categories. For the definition of Cognizant Federal Agency, see the
“Glossary of Terms” in the Financial Guide. For assistance with identifying your
cognizant agency, contact the Customer Service Center at 1-800-458-0786 or at
ask.ocfo@usdoj.gov. If DOJ is the cognizant federal agency, applicants may obtain
information needed to submit an indirect cost rate proposal at

In order to use the de minimis indirect rate, attach written documentation to the
application that advises OJP of both the applicant’s eligibility (to use the de minimis rate)
and its election. If the applicant elects the de minimis method, costs must be consistently
charged as either indirect or direct costs, but may not be double charged or
inconsistently charged as both. In addition, if this method is chosen then it must be used
consistently for all federal awards until such time as you choose to negotiate a federally
approved indirect cost rate.4

6. Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable)

Tribes, tribal organizations, or third parties proposing to provide direct services or
assistance to residents on tribal lands should include in their applications a resolution, a
letter, affidavit, or other documentation, as appropriate, that certifies that the applicant
has the legal authority from the tribe(s) to implement the proposed project on tribal
lands. In those instances when an organization or consortium of tribes applies for a grant
on behalf of a tribe or multiple specific tribes, the application should include appropriate
legal documentation, as described above, from all tribes that would receive services or
assistance under the grant. A consortium of tribes for which existing consortium bylaws
allow action without support from all tribes in the consortium (i.e., without an authorizing
resolution or comparable legal documentation from each tribal governing body) may
submit, instead, a copy of its consortium bylaws with the application.

7. Applicant Disclosure of High Risk Status

Applicants are to disclose whether they are currently designated high risk by another
federal grant making agency. This includes any status requiring additional oversight by
the federal agency due to past programmatic or financial concerns. If an applicant is
designated high risk by another federal grant making agency, you must email the
following information to OJPComplianceReporting@usdoj.gov at the time of application
submission:

- The federal agency that currently designates the applicant as high risk.
- Date the applicant was designated high risk.
- The high-risk point of contact name, phone number, and email address, from that
  federal agency.
- Reasons for the high-risk status.

OJP seeks this information to ensure appropriate federal oversight of any grant award.

4 See 2 C.F.R. § 200.414(f).
Disclosing this high risk information does not disqualify any organization from receiving an OJP award. However, additional grant oversight may be included, if necessary, in award documentation.

8. Additional Attachments

Applicants should submit the following information, as stipulated in the cited pages, as attachments to their applications. While the materials listed below are not assigned specific point values, peer reviewers will, as appropriate, consider these items when rating applications. For example, reviewers will consider résumés and/or letters of support/ memoranda of understanding when assessing “capabilities/competencies.” Peer reviewers will not consider any additional information that the applicant submits other than that specified below.

a. Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications. Applicants are to disclose whether they have pending applications for federally funded grants or subgrants (including cooperative agreements) that include requests for funding to support the same project being proposed under this solicitation and will cover the identical cost items outlined in the budget narrative and worksheet in the application under this solicitation. The disclosure should include both direct applications for federal funding (e.g., applications to federal agencies) and indirect applications for such funding (e.g., applications to state agencies that will subaward federal funds).

OJP seeks this information to help avoid any inappropriate duplication of funding. Leveraging multiple funding sources in a complementary manner to implement comprehensive programs or projects is encouraged and is not seen as inappropriate duplication.

Applicants that have pending applications as described above are to provide the following information about pending applications submitted within the last 12 months:

- The federal or state funding agency.
- The solicitation name/project name.
- The point of contact information at the applicable funding agency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal or State Funding Agency</th>
<th>Solicitation Name/Project Name</th>
<th>Name/Phone/Email for Point of Contact at Funding Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOJ/COPS</td>
<td>COPS Hiring Program</td>
<td>Jane Doe, 202/000-0000; <a href="mailto:jane.doe@usdoj.gov">jane.doe@usdoj.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHS/ Substance Abuse &amp; Mental Health Services Administration</td>
<td>Drug Free Communities Mentoring Program/ North County Youth Mentoring Program</td>
<td>John Doe, 202/000-0000; <a href="mailto:john.doe@hhs.gov">john.doe@hhs.gov</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Applicants should include the table as a separate attachment to their application. The file should be named “Disclosure of Pending Applications.”

Applicants that do not have pending applications as described above are to include a
statement to this effect in the separate attachment page (e.g., “[Applicant Name on SF-424] does not have pending applications submitted within the last 12 months for federally funded grants or subgrants (including cooperative agreements) that include requests for funding to support the same project being proposed under this solicitation and will cover the identical cost items outlined in the budget narrative and worksheet in the application under this solicitation.”).

b. Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity. If a proposal involves research and/or evaluation, regardless of the proposal’s other merits, in order to receive funds, the applicant must demonstrate research/evaluation independence, including appropriate safeguards to ensure research/evaluation objectivity and integrity, both in this proposal and as it may relate to the applicant’s other current or prior related projects. This documentation may be included as an attachment to the application which addresses BOTH 1 and 2 below.

1. For purposes of this solicitation, applicants must document research and evaluation independence and integrity by including, at a minimum, one of the following two items:

   (a) A specific assurance that the applicant has reviewed its proposal to identify any research integrity issues (including all principal investigators and subrecipients) and it has concluded that the design, conduct, or reporting of research and evaluation funded by OJJDP grants, cooperative agreements, or contracts will not be biased by any personal or financial conflict of interest on the part of part of its staff, consultants, and/or subrecipients responsible for the research and evaluation or on the part of the applicant organization; OR

   (b) A specific listing of actual or perceived conflicts of interest that the applicant has identified in relation to this proposal. These conflicts could be either personal (related to specific staff, consultants, and/or subrecipients) or organizational (related to the applicant or any subgrantee organization). Examples of potential investigator (or other personal) conflict situations may include, but are not limited to, those in which an investigator would be in a position to evaluate a spouse’s work product (actual conflict), or an investigator would be in a position to evaluate the work of a former or current colleague (potential apparent conflict). With regard to potential organizational conflicts of interest, as one example, generally an organization could not be given a grant to evaluate a project if that organization had itself provided substantial prior technical assistance to that specific project or a location implementing the project (whether funded by OJP or other sources), as the organization in such an instance would appear to be evaluating the effectiveness of its own prior work. The key is whether a reasonable person understanding all of the facts would be able to have confidence that the results of any research or evaluation project are objective and reliable. Any outside personal or financial interest that casts doubt on that objectivity and reliability of an evaluation or research product is a problem and must be disclosed.
2. In addition, for purposes of this solicitation applicants must address the issue of possible mitigation of research integrity concerns by including, at a minimum, one of the following two items:

(a) If an applicant reasonably believes that no potential personal or organizational conflicts of interest exist, then the applicant should provide a brief narrative explanation of how and why it reached that conclusion. Applicants MUST also include an explanation of the specific processes and procedures that the applicant will put in place to identify and eliminate (or, at the very least, mitigate) potential personal or financial conflicts of interest on the part of its staff, consultants, and/or subrecipients for this particular project, should that be necessary during the grant period. Documentation that may be helpful in this regard could include organizational codes of ethics/conduct or policies regarding organizational, personal, and financial conflicts of interest.

OR

(b) If the applicant has identified specific personal or organizational conflicts of interest in its proposal during this review, the applicant must propose a specific and robust mitigation plan to address conflicts noted above. At a minimum, the plan must include specific processes and procedures that the applicant will put in place to eliminate (or, at the very least, mitigate) potential personal or financial conflicts of interest on the part of its staff, consultants, and/or subrecipients for this particular project, should that be necessary during the grant period. Documentation that may be helpful in this regard could include organizational codes of ethics/conduct or policies regarding organizational, personal, and financial conflicts of interest. There is no guarantee that the plan, if any, will be accepted as proposed.

Considerations in assessing research and evaluation independence and integrity will include, but are not be limited to, the adequacy of the applicant’s efforts to identify factors that could affect the objectivity or integrity of the proposed staff and/or the organization in carrying out the research, development, or evaluation activity; and the adequacy of the applicant’s existing or proposed remedies to control any such factors.

c. Logic model (see page 16).

d. Timeline or milestone chart (see page 16).

e. Résumés of all key personnel.

f. Job descriptions outlining roles and responsibilities for all key positions.

g. Letters of support/memoranda of understanding from partner organizations (see page 17).

h. Evidence of nonprofit status, e.g., a copy of the tax exemption letter from the Internal Revenue Service, if applicable.

In accordance with the Part 200 Uniform Requirements as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.205, federal agencies must have in place a framework to evaluate the risks posed by applicants before they receive a federal award. To facilitate part of this risk evaluation, all applicants (other than an individual) are to download, complete, and submit this form.

10. Disclosure of Lobbying Activities

All applicants must complete this information. Applicants that expend any funds for lobbying activities are to provide the detailed information requested on the form Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL). Applicants that do not expend any funds for lobbying activities are to enter “N/A” in the text boxes for item 10 (“a. Name and Address of Lobbying Registrant” and “b. Individuals Performing Services”).

How To Apply

Applicants must register in, and submit applications through Grants.gov, a primary source to find federal funding opportunities and apply for funding. Find complete instructions on how to register and submit an application at www.Grants.gov. Applicants that experience technical difficulties during this process should call the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at 800-518-4726 or 606–545–5035, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except federal holidays. Registering with Grants.gov is a one-time process; however, processing delays may occur, and it can take several weeks for first-time registrants to receive confirmation and a user password. OJP encourages applicants to register several weeks before the application submission deadline. In addition, OJP urges applicants to submit applications 72 hours prior to the application due date to allow time to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification.

OJJDP strongly encourages all prospective applicants to sign up for Grants.gov email notifications regarding this solicitation. If this solicitation is cancelled or modified, individuals who sign up with Grants.gov for updates will be automatically notified.

Browser Information: Grants.gov was built to be compatible with Internet Explorer. For technical assistance with Google Chrome, or another browser, contact Grants.gov Customer Support.

Note on Attachments. Grants.gov has two categories of files for attachments: mandatory and optional. OJP receives all files attached in both categories. Please ensure all required documents are attached in the mandatory category.

Note on File Names and File Types. Grants.gov permits the use of only certain characters in names of attachment files. Valid file names may include only the characters shown in the table below. Grants.gov rejects any application that includes an attachment(s) with a file name that contains any characters not shown in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characters</th>
<th>Special Characters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper case (A – Z)</td>
<td>Parenthesis ( )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower case (a – z)</td>
<td>Ampersand (&amp;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underscore (_)</td>
<td>Comma (,)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Curly braces ({}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tilde (~)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Semicolon (;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exclamation point (!)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apostrophe (’)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Grants.gov forwards successfully submitted applications to the OJP Grants Management System (GMS).

**GMS does not accept executable file types as application attachments.** These disallowed file types include, but are not limited to, the following extensions: “.com,” “.bat,” “.exe,” “.vbs,” “.cfg,” “.dat,” “.db,” “.dbf,” “.dll,” “.ini,” “.log,” “.ora,” “.sys,” and “.zip.” GMS may reject applications with files that use these extensions. It is important to allow time to change the type of file(s) if the application is rejected.

All applicants are required to complete the following steps:

OJP may not make a federal award to an applicant organization until the organization has complied with all applicable DUNS and SAM requirements. Individual applicants must comply with all Grants.gov requirements. The federal awarding agency may determine, if an applicant has not fully complied with the requirements at the time the award is to be made, that the applicant is not qualified to receive the award and may use that determination as a basis to make the award to another applicant.

Individual applicants should search Grants.gov for a funding opportunity for which individuals are eligible to apply. Use the Funding Opportunity Number to register. Complete the registration form to create a username and password. Individual applicants should complete all steps except 1, 2, and 4.

1. **Acquire a Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number.** In general, the Office of Management and Budget requires that all applicants (other than individuals) for federal funds include a DUNS number in their applications for a new award or a supplement to an existing award. A DUNS number is a unique nine-digit sequence recognized as the universal standard for identifying and differentiating entities receiving federal funds. The identifier is used for tracking purposes and to validate address and point of contact information for federal assistance applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. The DUNS number will be used throughout the grant life cycle. Obtaining a DUNS number is a free, one-time activity. Call Dun and Bradstreet at 866–705–5711 to obtain a DUNS number or apply online. A DUNS number is usually received within 1-2 business days.

2. **Acquire registration with the System for Award Management (SAM).** SAM is the repository for standard information about federal financial assistance applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. OJP requires all applicants (other than individuals) for federal financial assistance to maintain current registrations in the SAM database. Applicants must be registered in SAM to successfully register in Grants.gov. Applicants must update or renew their SAM registration annually to maintain an active status. SAM registration and renewal can take as long as 10 business days to complete.

Applications cannot be successfully submitted in Grants.gov until Grants.gov receives the SAM registration information. Once the SAM registration/renewal is complete, the information transfer from SAM to Grants.gov can take up to 48 hours. OJP
recommends that the applicant register or renew registration with SAM as early as possible.

Information about SAM registration procedures can be accessed at www.sam.gov.

3. **Acquire an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) and a Grants.gov username and password.** Complete the AOR profile on Grants.gov and create a username and password. Applicants must use their organization's DUNS number to complete this step. For more information about the registration process for organizations, go to www.grants.gov/web/grants/register.html.

4. **Acquire confirmation for the AOR from the E-Business Point of Contact (E-Biz POC).** The E-Biz POC at the applicant organization must log into Grants.gov to confirm the applicant organization’s AOR. The E-Biz POC will need the Marketing Partner Identification Number (MPIN) password obtained when registering with SAM to complete this step. Note that an organization can have more than one AOR.

5. **Search for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov.** Use the following identifying information when searching for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov. The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance number for this solicitation is 16.544, titled “Youth Gang Prevention,” and the funding opportunity number is OJJDP-2016-9332.

6. **Submit a valid application consistent with this solicitation by following the directions in Grants.gov.** Within 24–48 hours after submitting the electronic application, the applicant should receive two notifications from Grants.gov. The first will confirm the receipt of the application and the second will state whether the application has been successfully validated or rejected with an explanation. It is possible to first receive a message indicating that the application is received and then receive a rejection notice a few minutes or hours later. Submitting well ahead of the deadline provides time to correct the problem(s) that caused the rejection. **Important:** OJP urges applicants to submit applications at least 72 hours prior to the application due date to allow time to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification. Applicants must submit their applications and have received a validation message in Grants.gov by 11:59 p.m. ET on June 1, 2016.

   Click here for further details on DUNS, SAM, and Grants.gov registration steps and timeframes.

**Note: Duplicate Applications.** If an applicant submits multiple versions of the same application, OJJDP will review only the most recent system-validated version submitted. See Note on File Names and File Types under How To Apply.

**Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues**

Applicants that experience unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond their control that prevent them from submitting their application by the deadline must contact the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline or the SAM Help Desk (Federal Service Desk) to report the technical issue and receive a tracking number. The applicant must email the Response Center at grants@ncjrs.gov within 24 hours after the application deadline and request approval to submit their application. The email must describe the technical difficulties and
include a timeline of the applicant’s submission efforts, the complete grant application, the applicant’s DUNS number, and any Grants.gov Help Desk or SAM tracking number(s). **Note: OJJDP does not automatically approve requests.** After the program office reviews the submission, and contacts the Grants.gov or SAM Help Desks to validate the reported technical issues, OJP will inform the applicant whether the request to submit a late application has been approved or denied. If OJP determines that the applicant failed to follow all required procedures, which resulted in an untimely application submission, OJP will deny the applicant’s request to submit their application.

The following conditions are generally insufficient to justify late submissions:

- Failure to register in SAM or Grants.gov in sufficient time. (SAM registration and renewal can take as long as 10 business days to complete. The information transfer from SAM to Grants.gov can take up to 48 hours.)
- Failure to follow Grants.gov instructions on how to register and apply as posted on its website.
- Failure to follow each instruction in the OJP solicitation.
- Technical issues with the applicant’s computer or information technology environment, including firewalls, browser incompatibility, etc.

**Notifications regarding known technical problems with Grants.gov, if any, are posted at the top of the OJP funding webpage.**

### E. Application Review Information

**Selection Criteria**

OJJDP will use the following five selection criteria to evaluate each application, with the different weight given to each based on the percentage value listed after each individual criteria. For example, the first criteria, Statement of the Problem, is worth 20 percent of the entire score in the application review process.

1. Statement of the Problem (20 percent).
2. Goals, Objectives, and Performance Measures (5 percent).
3. Project Design and Implementation (40 percent).
4. Capabilities and Competencies (30 percent).
5. Budget: complete, cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable, and necessary for project activities). Budget narratives should generally demonstrate how applicants will maximize cost effectiveness of grant expenditures. Budget narratives
should demonstrate cost effectiveness in relation to potential alternatives and the goals of the project.\(^5\) (5 percent).

Review Process

OJP is committed to ensuring a fair and open process for awarding grants. OJJDP reviews the application to make sure that the information presented is reasonable, understandable, measurable, and achievable, as well as consistent with the solicitation.

Peer reviewers will review the applications submitted under this solicitation that meet basic minimum requirements. For purposes of assessing whether applicants have met basic minimum requirements, OJP screens applications for compliance with specified program requirements to help determine which applications should proceed to further consideration for award. Although program requirements may vary, the following are common requirements applicable to all solicitations for funding under OJP grant programs:

- Applications must be submitted by an eligible type of applicant.
- Applications must request funding within programmatic funding constraints (if applicable).
- Applications must be responsive to the scope of the solicitation.
- Applications must include all items designated as critical elements.
- Applicants will be checked against the System for Award Management.

For a list of critical elements, see “What an Application Should Include” under Section D, Application and Submission Information.

OJJDP may use internal peer reviewers, external peer reviewers, or a combination, to assess applications meeting basic minimum requirements on technical merit using the solicitation’s selection criteria. An external peer reviewer is an expert in the subject matter of a given solicitation who is not a current DOJ employee. An internal reviewer is a current DOJ employee who is well-versed or has expertise in the subject matter of this solicitation. A peer review panel will evaluate, score, and rate applications that meet basic minimum requirements. Peer reviewers’ ratings and any resulting recommendations are advisory only, although their views are considered carefully. In addition to peer review ratings, considerations for award recommendations and decisions may include, but are not limited to, underserved populations, geographic diversity, strategic priorities, past performance under prior OJJDP and OJP awards, and available funding.

OJP reviews applications for potential discretionary awards to evaluate the risks posed by applicants before they receive an award. This review may include but is not limited to the following:

1. Financial stability and fiscal integrity.

\(^5\) Generally speaking, a reasonable cost is a cost that, in its nature or amount, does not exceed that which would be incurred by a prudent person under the circumstances prevailing at the time the decision was made to incur the costs.
2. Quality of management systems and ability to meet the management standards prescribed in the Financial Guide.


4. Reports and findings from audits.

5. The applicant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory, or other requirements imposed on award recipients.

6. Proposed costs to determine if the Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative accurately explain project costs, and whether those costs are reasonable, necessary, and allowable under applicable federal cost principles and agency regulations.

F. Federal Award Administration Information

Federal Award Notices
OJP sends award notification by email through GMS to the individuals listed in the application as the point of contact and the authorizing official (E-Biz POC and AOR). The email notification includes detailed instructions on how to access and view the award documents and how to accept the award in GMS. GMS automatically issues the notifications at 9 p.m. ET on the award date (by September 30, 2016). Recipients must log in; accept any outstanding assurances and certifications on the award; designate a financial point of contact; and review, sign, and accept the award. The award acceptance process requires the authorized representative to physically sign the award document and to scan and send the fully executed award document to OJP.

Administrative, National Policy, and other Legal Requirements
If selected for funding, in addition to implementing the funded project consistent with the agency-approved project proposal and budget, the recipient must comply with award terms and conditions and other legal requirements, including but not limited to OMB, DOJ, or other federal regulations that will be included in the award, incorporated into the award by reference, or are otherwise applicable to the award. OJP strongly encourages prospective applicants to review the information pertaining to these requirements prior to submitting an application. To assist applicants and recipients in accessing and reviewing this information, OJP has placed pertinent information on its Solicitation Requirements page of the OJP Funding Resource Center.

Please note in particular the following two forms, which applicants must accept in GMS prior to receiving any award funds. The applicant must provide specific assurances and certifications of compliance to legal requirements detailed in each document. Applicants may view these forms in the Apply section of the OJP Funding Resource Center, and OJP strongly encourages applicants to review and consider them carefully prior to applying for OJP grant funds.

- Certifications Regarding Lobbying; Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility Matters; and Drug-Free Workplace Requirements
- Standard Assurances
Upon grant approval, OJP electronically transmits (via GMS) the award document to the prospective award recipient. In addition to other award information, the award document contains award terms and conditions that specify national policy requirements\(^6\) with which recipients of federal funding must comply; uniform administrative requirements, cost principles, and audit requirements; and program-specific terms and conditions required based on applicable program (statutory) authority or requirements set forth in OJP solicitations and program announcements, and other requirements that may be attached to appropriated funding. For example, certain efforts may call for special requirements, terms, or conditions relating to intellectual property, data/information-sharing or -access, or information security; or audit requirements, expenditures and milestones, or publications and/or press releases. OJP also may place additional terms and conditions on an award based on its risk assessment of the applicant, or for other reasons it determines necessary to fulfill the goals and objectives of the program.

Prospective applicants may access and review the text of mandatory conditions OJP includes in all OJP awards, as well as the text of certain other conditions, such as administrative conditions, via Mandatory Award Terms and Conditions page of the OJP Funding Resource Center.

**General Information about Post-Federal Award Reporting Requirements**

Recipients must submit quarterly financial reports, semi-annual progress reports, final financial and progress reports, and, if applicable, an annual audit report in accordance with the Part 200 Uniform Requirements. Future awards and fund drawdowns may be withheld if reports are delinquent.

OJP may require special reporting requirements, depending on the statutory, legislative, or administrative obligations of the recipient or the program.

**G. Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s)**

For Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s), see the title page.

For contact information for Grants.gov, see the title page.

**H. Other Information**

**Provide Feedback to OJP**

To assist OJP in improving its application and award processes, we encourage applicants to provide feedback on this solicitation, the application submission process, and/or the application review/peer review process. Provide feedback to OJPSolicitationFeedback@usdoj.gov.

**IMPORTANT:** This email is for feedback and suggestions only. Replies are not sent from this mailbox. If you have specific questions on any program or technical aspect of the solicitation, you must directly contact the appropriate number or email listed on the front of this solicitation document. These contacts are provided to help ensure that you can directly

---

\(^6\) See generally 2 C.F.R. 200.300 (provides a general description of national policy requirements typically applicable to recipients of federal awards, including the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006).
reach an individual who can address your specific questions in a timely manner.

If you are interested in being a reviewer for other OJP grant applications, email your résumé to ojppeerreview@lmsolas.com. The OJP Solicitation Feedback email account will not forward your résumé. **Note:** Neither you nor anyone else from your organization can be a peer reviewer in a competition in which you or your organization have submitted an application.

**Application Checklist**

**OJJDP FY 2016 Comprehensive Antigang Strategies and Programs**

This application checklist has been created to assist you in developing an application.

**What an Applicant Should Do:**

*Prior to Registering in Grants.gov:*

- Acquire a DUNs number (see page 24)
- Acquire or renew registration with SAM (see page 24)

*To Register with Grants.gov:*

- Acquire AOR and Grants.gov username/password (see page 25)
- Acquire AOR confirmation from the E-Biz POC (see page 25)

*To Find Funding Opportunity:*

- Search for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov (see page 25)
- Download Funding Opportunity and Application Package
- Sign up for Grants.gov email notifications (optional) (see page 23)
- Read **Important Notice: Applying for Grants in Grants.gov**
- Read **OJP policy and guidance** on conference approval, planning, and reporting (see page 10)

*After Application Submission, Receive Grants.gov Email Notifications That:*

- (1) application has been received,
- (2) application has either been validated or rejected (see page 25)

*If No Grants.gov Receipt, and Validation or Error Notifications are Received:*

- Contact the Response Center at grants@ncjrs.gov regarding technical difficulties. Please refer to the section: Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues (see page 25)

**General Requirements:**

- Review **“Other Requirements”** webpage

**Scope Requirement:**

- The federal amount requested is within the allowable limit of $300,000.

**Eligibility Requirement:**

- State or territory
- Unit of local government
Federally recognized tribal government
Nonprofit organization, including tribal nonprofit organization

What an Application Is Expected to Include:

- Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424) (see page 11)
- Intergovernmental Review (see page 12)
- Program Abstract (see page 12)
- Program Narrative (see page 13)
- Budget Detail Worksheet and Narrative (see page 17)
- Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable) (see page 18)
- Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable) (see page 19)
- Applicant Disclosure of High-Risk Status (see page 19)
- Additional Attachments (see page 20)
  - Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications
  - Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity
  - logic model
  - timeline or milestone chart
  - job descriptions outlining roles and responsibilities for all key positions
  - letters of support/memoranda of understanding
  - evidence of nonprofit status, e.g., a copy of the tax exemption letter from the Internal Revenue Service, if applicable.
  - list of steering committee members by name, title, and agency
  - timeline for the completion of a thorough gang problem assessment
  - résumés for project director/coordinator (or position description and salary specifications if not hired) and other key personnel
  - city’s youth violence prevention plan, if applicable
  - meeting minutes from ongoing collaborations in juvenile justice
  - Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (see page 23)
  - Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL) (see page 23)
  - Employee Compensation Waiver request and justification (if applicable) (see page 10)